

Operation Youth Success Steering Committee Meeting – 12/15/16



UNO Community Engagement Center 8:30 AM

- 1) Call to Order
 - a. Introductions of Steering Committee members and guests present
- 2) Notice of Open Meeting Act
- 3) Motion to accept November, 2016 Steering Committee Minutes – Motion to approve minutes – motion passes unanimously.
- 4) Discussion & Action Item:
 - a. 2017 Community-based Juvenile Services Aid for Douglas County
 - b. The recommendation before the committee today is from first level review – which occurred previously. Handouts are provided in the packet summarizing the total amount requested in each application as well as the amount per major funding category within each application. The excel spreadsheet provided also denotes the priority area in the community plan each application identifies as their primary priority. Please note that although each application identifies a primary priority area, many of the applications impact other priority areas as well.
 - c. The final approved recommendation of the OYS Steering Committee, which serves as the Douglas County Community team will be submitted to the Nebraska Crime Commission (NCC) – Due date is 1/9/17
 - d. Upon receipt of the award notice from the NCC the award will be presented to the Douglas County Board for approval.
 - e. Notice of the application period opening was given on 10/04 and shared via OYS/JDAI work groups, the Juvenile Justice Provider Forum & county departments. Applicants had until 11/21 to submit applications to the County Grants office.
 - f. First level review team reviewed each application independently (between 12/2 – 12/12). They then met on 12/12/16 to discuss how funds should be distributed and prepare the recommendation before the committee today. During the review process the team had to consider the total available amount to Douglas County: \$1,731,610.00 and compare this to the total amount of funds requested: \$2,059,316.00 or an average of \$327,706.00.
 - g. The handouts reflect initial review comments and the first level team’s funding recommendation in total
 - h. Direction in the NCC Memo dated 10/26/16 regarding spending restraint related to travel was given heavy consideration during the exercise of reducing budgets to meet the overall Douglas County allocation.
 - i. **Application 1 – Advancing Youth Impact**

Travel to Georgetown denied (recommendation) - NCC memo re: spending restraint

Move to accept recommendation – Patrick / Brad

Question – is there an alternative opportunity for training

Response – this is the same team that is receiving TA from Georgetown, there are additional opportunities.

Alternative sources of funding to support requests are being explored

Motion carried via group voice vote with no opposition.

j. **Application 2 – ATD services** (McCann entered)

RFP for these services – providers are community-based agencies

Question related to sending it back to the co-chairs for adjusting the budget.

ATD encompasses detention alternatives and pre-adjudication services. Reduce detention alternatives based upon utilization. ATD co-chairs have the data to determine adjustments.

Proposal – Reduce each line-item by 20%

Would an agency be able to apply for these funds to serve non-system involved youth?

Application submitted was for same youth to be served as in the past.

Proposal - Each line item should be reduced by 20% across the board – not comfortable with co-chairs making decision.

Proposal was based on utilization data included in the application.

Motion to approve recommendation with amendment that each line item category shall be reduced by an equal percentage to get to the total awarded amount –

Vote – Motion Carried

k. **Application 3 – Community Implementation**

New application & area of service from OYS work group. Culturally competent community-based prevention services.

First level review recommended that mileage be cut entirely – keeping in line with spending restraint. Overall reduction to requested amount in order to meet budget

Contract fee for services – RFP? Yes

How does this apply to DMC?

Priority area – DMC

Reading of application elements by Co-Chair – Kerri Peterson

(Crnkovich – entered)

Motion to approve application –

Friendly amendment - Contingency - Consult with DMC committee in developing the RFP

Vote – Motion Carried

l. **Application 4 – Alternatives Unit**

Funding recommendation – cut local mileage

2 positions – 1 is a coordinator, research, information sharing nexus point. 1 dedicated attorney handles truancy diversion, diversion, crossover, case planning for truancy and diversion

Existing positions

Motion to approve –

Discussion -

Cultural ambassador program – targeted effort to reduce DMC

Vote – Motion carried

m. Application 5 – Grant Specialist

Continuation – system improvement – CBA grant management for County Administration

Motion to approve

No discussion

Vote – Motion carried

n. Application 6 – HOME

Recommendation to approve - Personnel and Bus tickets –

Contingency – HOME has never been a fee-for-service program. Unclear from application what the demand is. Want to understand the pattern of referrals for maintaining 3 positions. Program will provide data related to utilization.

Discussion –

Cannot order youth to an Alternative to Detention without court involvement -

Alternatives to the Youth Center, operated through the youth center, are appropriate under law.

Douglas County will request an AG for an opinion – to ensure due process is met.

Motion to approve

Vote – motion carried

o. Application 7 - JAC

Recommendation to approve Personnel, Contract fee for service, and a portion of the requested operating (outlined on handout) –

Discussion -

Motion to approve -

Vote – motion carried

Johnson departs, makes Mary V. his proxy

p. Application 8 – JDIA Coordinator

First level review recommendation to fund at \$0.00

JDIA Coordinator – Continuation for system improvement

Discussion

Funding source – Sherwood

Motion to Fund – JDIA Coordinator equal to requested amount in application.

\$75,004 to JDIA coordinator, remove from OYS Personnel

\$75,004 to OYS Personnel budget from Sherwood

Vote – motion carried

q. Application 9 – Data Coordinator

First level recommendation to approve

Motion to approve

Vote – motion carried

Comment - Cultural competency recommendations utilized in hiring of the position

r. Application 10 – Backbone

Recommendation of first level review to fund at full application request

Continuation –

Change of \$75,004 to be removed from requested amount and allocated via Sherwood

Motion to approve amended recommendation of \$137,866

Vote- Motion carried

s. Application 11 – PACE

Fund consultants and contracts – personnel –

Motion to fund at original ask (117,336) - fund by reducing YIM (16), and Restorative Justice (14)

–

Motion is to fund the original ask – eliminating the two proposed items are not, together, enough to fund the original ask.

Friendly amendment – add the \$41,725 from cutting (14) & (16) –

If it is funded, must be at \$16K or less to avoid supplanting.

Motion to fund at proposed level – and if as we move through next applications and discuss, if funds are cut, we consider reallocating.

Motion is to add \$33,200 to the PACE recommendation– to equal \$100,536 –

Vote - motion does not carry

OYS Steering Committee 12/15/16

Discussion

Original recommendation from first level review committee is to fund PACE at \$67,336

Motion to approve the recommendation of the first level review

Vote – motion carried

t. **Applications 12 and 15 –**

Motion to approve recommendation of First Level Review – forgoing roll call as recommendation is to not fund

Vote – all in favor – no abstentions

u. **Application 13 – Refugee JJ Advocacy**

Funding recommendation of first level review is to fund at \$50,000 – this is an existing service

Discussion

Motion to approve amount with a contingency that it needs to go out to RFP

Vote – motion carried

v. **Application 14 – RJ, Peer mediation**

Motion to approve recommendation of first level review

Vote – motion carried

w. **Application 16 – YIM**

Discussion

Motion to approve with a contingency that it needs to go out to RFP

Vote – motion carried

5) Other Items: General discussion & comments from the Steering Committee –

- i. Work on bringing the chairs of the committee to the meeting to explain applications moving forward.
- ii. AG Clarification related to utilization of services for youth who are not court-involved
- iii. Application consistency between OYS & JDAI initiatives
- iv. Innovation funding

6) Citizen Comments:

7) Motion to Adjourn

