

DOUGLAS COUNTY JDAI WORK PLAN 2019
ATD Subcommittee

Strategy	Action Steps	Target Date	Status	Responsible Parties	Resources Needed	Evidence-Based Practice
<p>1) Maintain a continuum of Community Based Alternatives to Detention with a focus on evaluating and planning for the impact of any new legislative changes.</p> <p>Definition of ATD: 42-245 (3) Alternative to detention means a program or directive that increases supervision of a youth in the community in an effort to ensure the youth attends court and refrains from committing a new law violation. Alternative to detention includes, but is not limited to, electronic monitoring, day and evening reporting centers, house arrest, tracking, family crisis response, and temporary shelter placement. Except for the use of manually controlled delayed egress of not more than thirty seconds, placements that utilize physical construction or hardware to restrain a youth's freedom of movement and ingress and egress from placement are not considered alternatives to detention;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Evaluate ATDs currently available in Douglas County and assess for gaps or barriers. b. Review the override data to identify characteristics of target population being overridden to detention in need of alternatives, with a focus on those detained due to no available alternative. The data reviewed by the ATD working group will be broken down by REGGO, when possible, to ensure that issues related to DMC in Douglas County are identified and elevated as necessary. c. Examine continuum of ATDs from other JDAI sites and National best practices. d. Develop new ATDs or make recommendations to modify existing ATDs to address the needs of the target populations when gaps are identified. e. Assess funding needs for ATDs and pursue funding opportunities to ensure ATDs are available for all youth at the point of intake. f. Make recommendations to the collaborative for any changes made to the continuum. g. Provide training to stakeholders in Douglas County on ATDs, the intended use (target population) 	Ongoing	In Process	Theresa Goley, Melissa Schaefer (ATD co-chairs), Shelly Hug (JDAI Coordinator), & ATD Subcommittee Members	Collaboration with subcommittee, Probation, JDAI Coordinator, JDAI Data Coordinator and Douglas County Stakeholders.	<p>Is the proposed program a model, best-practice, evidence-based, or promising practice program?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Anne E Casey Foundation Outcomes: Is this program being evaluated? Explain evaluation and outcome data that establishes this as an effective program.</p> <p>Data is being collected and there is a plan to review and evaluate ATD utilization and success. An evaluation is being completed</p>

Strategy	Action Steps	Target Date	Status	Responsible Parties	Resources Needed	Evidence-Based Practice
	of each ATD, and how to access services. Trainings will be held on an as needed basis.					by Dr. Anne Hobbs.
Strategy	Action Steps	Target Date	Status	Responsible Parties	Resources Needed	Evidence-Based Practice
2) Increase participation in the ATD Subcommittee by engaging key stakeholder to ensure collaboration and information sharing to increase the effectiveness of services to youth as called for in the Community Plan.	<p>a. Reach out to key stakeholders not presently involved in the ATD committee to improve communication and collaboration allowing for increased opportunity for youth to participate in ATD's (i.e. County Attorney, Defense Attorney, Law Enforcement).</p> <p>b. Continue to engage ATD providers and community partners in the subcommittee and in executing the ATD work plan.</p>	Ongoing	In process	Theresa Goley, Melissa Schaefer (co-chairs), JDAI Coordinator, & ATD Subcommittee Members	Participation by ATD providers, Probation and community stakeholders in the subcommittee.	N/A
Strategy	Action Steps	Target Date	Status	Responsible Parties	Resources Needed	Evidence-Based Practice
3) Increase utilization of ATD's by youth who, based on their RAI, are to be released with an appropriate alternative. Target populations include youth with warrants (run away, lost placement) and DMC.	<p>a. Review override data from the QRS for youth who score 6-9 on the RAI and monitor utilization of youth to ATDs at all points. The data reviewed by the ATD working group will be broken down by REGGO, when possible, to ensure that issues related to DMC in Douglas County are identified and elevated as necessary.</p> <p>b. Review data specifically related to youth who are overridden due to no alternative available at the time of intake.</p> <p>c. Identify strategies to increase utilization of the full continuum of alternatives and eliminate</p>	Ongoing June 30, 2019	In process	Theresa Goley, Melissa Schaefer (co-chairs), JDAI Coordinator, JDAI Data Coordinator & ATD Subcommittee Members	Participation by ATD providers, Probation and community stakeholders in the subcommittee. Collaboration with other JDAI subcommittees when necessary.	<p>Is the proposed program a model, best-practice, evidence-based, or promising practice program?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Outcomes: Is this program being evaluated? Explain evaluation and outcome data that</p>

Strategy	Action Steps	Target Date	Status	Responsible Parties	Resources Needed	Evidence-Based Practice
	<p>overrides to detention due to shelter capacity.</p> <p>d. Examine policy, procedure, and practices which may be prohibiting the use of alternatives with a focus on the target populations identified. Collaborate with Case Processing on barriers impacting utilization of ATDs including youth with warrants or those who have lost placement.</p>					<p>establishes this as an effective program.</p>
Strategy	Action Steps	Target Date	Status	Responsible Parties	Resources Needed	Evidence-Based Practice
<p>4) Evaluate successful and unsuccessful discharges from ATD's.</p>	<p>a. Review QRS data quarterly with subcommittee to evaluate successful and unsuccessful discharges form ATDs, along with the length of stay in these ATDs.</p> <p>b. Examine policy, procedure, and practices which may impacting the success of youth placed in ATDs.</p> <p>c. Collaborate with the Case Processing subcommittee and Probation on issues impacting length of stay in ATDs (i.e. court hearings) or other issues impacting the success of youth in ATDs.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>In Process</p>	<p>Theresa Goley, Melissa Schaefer (co-chairs), JDAI Coordinator, & ATD Subcommittee Members</p>	<p>Participation by ATD providers, Probation and community stakeholders in the subcommittee. Collaboration with other JDAI subcommittees when necessary.</p>	<p>Is the proposed program a model, best-practice, evidence-based, or promising practice program?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Anne E Casey Foundation Outcomes: Is this program being evaluated? Explain evaluation and outcome data that establishes this as an effective program.</p> <p>Data is being collected and there is a plan to review and evaluate ATD</p>

Strategy	Action Steps	Target Date	Status	Responsible Parties	Resources Needed	Evidence-Based Practice
						utilization and success. An evaluation is being completed by Dr. Anne Hobbs.
5.) Develop an ATD data memo to inform stakeholders on number of youth who scored for an alternative and their disposition, utilization of ATDs regardless of RAI score, ALOS, and successful/unsuccessful discharges from ATDs.	a. Review current data related to ATDs and determine info needed for a data memo to inform stakeholders.	06-01-2019	Current and ongoing	ATD chairs with JDAI Staff	Collaboration with JDAI data staff to refine data and create memo and JDAI chairs to receive info and inform stakeholders.	